Interview Summary	Application I	Vo.	Applicant(s)
	10/010,162		HSHIEH ET AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit
	Ron E. Pomp	еу	2812
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Ron E. Pompey.	(3)		
(2) Karin Williams.	(4)		•
Date of Interview: 22 July 2005.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 12 and 22.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Karin agreed to cancel claim 12 and change the dependency of all the claims dependent on claim 12 to be dependent on allowable independent claim 22.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
		•	
	·	·	
-			
			•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	ı		Mana if an ancies of
Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	nure, it required